Public Document Pack



Planning Committee Supplementary

Wednesday 12 July 2017 at 7.00 pm Conference Hall - Brent Civic Centre, Engineers Way, Wembley, HA9 0FJ

Membership:

Members

Councillors:

Marquis (Chair) Agha (Chair) Moher (Vice-Chair) S Choudhary Colacicco Daly Hylton Maurice W Mitchell Murray Substitute Members Councillors:

Ahmed, A Choudry, Ezeajughi, Hoda-Benn, Kabir, Naheerathan, Pitruzzella and Thomas

Councillors

Colwill and Kansagra

For further information contact: Joe Kwateng, Governance Officer 020 8937 1354 ; joe.kwateng@brent.gov.uk

For electronic copies of minutes, reports and agendas, and to be alerted when the minutes of this meeting have been published visit: democracy.brent.gov.uk

The press and public are welcome to attend this meeting

Members' briefing will take place at 6.00pm in Boardrooms 7 and 8



Notes for Members - Declarations of Interest:

If a Member is aware they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest* in an item of business, they must declare its existence and nature at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent and must leave the room without participating in discussion of the item.

If a Member is aware they have a Personal Interest^{**} in an item of business, they must declare its existence and nature at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent.

If the Personal Interest is also a Prejudicial Interest (i.e. it affects a financial position or relates to determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission, or registration) then (unless an exception at 14(2) of the Members Code applies), after disclosing the interest to the meeting the Member must leave the room without participating in discussion of the item, except that they may first make representations, answer questions or give evidence relating to the matter, provided that the public are allowed to attend the meeting for those purposes.

*Disclosable Pecuniary Interests:

- (a) **Employment, etc. -** Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit gain.
- (b) **Sponsorship** Any payment or other financial benefit in respect expenses in carrying out duties as a member, or of election; including from a trade union.
- (c) **Contracts** Any current contract for goods, services or works, between the Councillors or their partner (or a body in which one has a beneficial interest) and the council.
- (d) Land Any beneficial interest in land which is within the council's area.
- (e) **Licences-** Any licence to occupy land in the council's area for a month or longer.
- (f) **Corporate tenancies** Any tenancy between the council and a body in which the Councillor or their partner have a beneficial interest.
- (g) **Securities** Any beneficial interest in securities of a body which has a place of business or land in the council's area, if the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body or of any one class of its issued share capital.

**Personal Interests:

The business relates to or affects:

(a) Anybody of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management, and:

- To which you are appointed by the council;
- which exercises functions of a public nature;
- which is directed is to charitable purposes;
- whose principal purposes include the influence of public opinion or policy (including a political party of trade union).
- (b) The interests a of a person from whom you have received gifts or hospitality of at least £50 as a member in the municipal year;

or

A decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting, to a greater extent than the majority of other council tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the electoral ward affected by the decision, the well-being or financial position of:

- You yourself;
- a member of your family or your friend or any person with whom you have a close association or any person or body who employs or has appointed any of these or in whom they have a beneficial interest in a class of securities exceeding the nominal value of £25,000, or any firm in which they are a partner, or any company of which they are a director
- any body of a type described in (a) above.

Agenda

Introductions, if appropriate.

Apologies for absence and clarification of alternate members

ITEM		WARD	PAGE
2.	Minutes of the previous meeting - 28 June 2017		1 - 10
3.	14 Grendon Gardens, Wembley HA9 9NE	Barnhill	11 - 12
4.	58 Neasden Lane, London NW10 2UJ	Dudden Hill	13 - 18

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 2



LONDON BOROUGH OF BRENT

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE Wednesday 28 June 2017 at 7.00 pm

PRESENT: Councillors Agha (Chair), Moher (Vice-Chair), S Choudhary, Colacicco, Daly, Hylton, Maurice and W Mitchell Murray

ALSO PRESENT: Councillors Denselow, Dixon, Mahmood, Nerva, Perrin, Councillor Pitruzzella and Krupa Sheth

1. Declarations of Interest

None.

Approaches. 16/1506 290B Ealing Road HA0 4LL All members received emails from neighbouring residents and businesses.

16/5398 76-78 Salusbury Road NW6 6PA Councillor Choudhary received a telephone call from a resident. All members received an email from Councillor Duffy.

2. Minutes of the Previous Meeting - 24 May 2017

RESOLVED:-

that the minutes of the previous meeting held on 24 May 2017 be approved as an accurate record of the meeting.

3. 16/1506 - 290B Ealing Road, Wembley, HA0 4LL

PROPOSAL: Demolition of existing warehouse (Use Class B8) and ancillary buildings and erection of part-two, part-three storey residential development providing 9 self-contained units (1 x 1 bed and 8 x 2 bed) with associated cycle parking, bin stores, landscaping and amenity space (car free development) (Revised drawings).

RECOMMENDATION: To grant planning permission subject to the completion of a satisfactory Section 106 or other legal agreement and delegate authority to the Head of Planning or other duly authorised person to agree the exact terms thereof on advice from the Head of Legal Services and to secure the benefits set out in the agreement.

And, to authorise the Head of Area Planning, or other duly authorised person, to refuse planning permission if the applicant has failed to demonstrate the ability to provide for the terms of the agreement and meet the policies of the Development Plan by concluding an appropriate agreement.

That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and Impose conditions (and informatives) to secure the matters set out in the report.

Chris Heather (Principal Planning Officer) introduced the application and answered members' questions. He referenced the supplementary report which reiterated issues previously raised and covered in the main report., They included access to the site and how the existing and proposed uses would co-exist, refuse storage, security and overlooking, loss of daylight and sunlight, noise, pollution and rodents.

He informed members that the principle of a residential use was acceptable as the existing situation was not suitable for continued use as B8 or ideal in terms of land use or design. The existing access was a fixed characteristic of the site and the only opportunity to improve it would be to re-surface it as widening it was not possible. The height was broadly similar to existing terrace of properties and it would maintain an acceptable relationship with the existing residential units nearby and would provide natural surveillance of the access road. He advised that the level of impact on surrounding residential dwellings was not considered to be unduly detrimental.

James Holmes, objecting on behalf of his client who owned properties in the surrounding area, raised concerns about inadequate amenity space for each dwelling unit, overlooking and lack of privacy. He added that the access to the site was too narrow to allow service vehicles into the site and accordingly would conflict with pedestrian use.

Debbie Quail speaking in a similar vein on behalf of his mother added that the excessive height which she felt would result in a loss of privacy, loss of light and overlooking to bedroom and gardens, would constitute a significant detriment to the quality of life of adjoining residents.

Simon Toplis (applicant's agent) addressed the Committee and answered members' questions. He referenced the derelict state of the site in excess of five years, resulting in a considerable state of disrepair and poor visual amenity. He added that despite the challenges and constraints of the site, the development proposed generous internal layout, improved amenity and outlook to each dwelling unit. Members heard that due to its proximity to Alperton station and access to public transport network, the site had a good PTAL rating.

Members raised queries about access for emergency vehicles, refuse arrangements including bin storage, construction arrangements, gate arrangement and ventilation. The agent responded that ambulances could gain access to the site and that issues relating to fire were covered under Building Regulations. He continued that Veoila (refuse contractor for Brent) were satisfied with the refuse arrangements and that the developer had made arrangements with Alperton Community school for use of the access road during construction in order to minimise any potential disruption. In respect of ventilation he confirmed that although no report had been submitted, each flat would have a dual aspect.

Prior to voting, the Chair referred to the site visit during which members observed that the proposed site was in a real mess and requested that officers from the relevant service departments be requested to inspect the site with a view to addressing the following issues of concern:

- Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) issues and Landlord licensing
- Planning Enforcement and Environmental Health.
- Waste management issues including licensing for commercial waste.
- The owner of the proposed development be asked to open or establish communication links with the residents.

In the ensuing discussion, members expressed concerns about over-development of the site in respect of safety aspects of future residents, narrowness of the access to the site with a possible conflict for vehicles and pedestrians, poor outlook and poor living conditions. For the above reasons, Members voted to refuse the application contrary to officers' recommendation.

Voting on the officer's recommendation for approval was recoded as follows:

FOR:	Councillors Agha, Moher and Choudhary	(3)
AGAINST:	Councillors Colacicco, Daly, Hylton, W Mitchell Murray	
	and Maurice	(5)

DECISION: Refused planning permission for reasons as set out above.

4. 17/1227 - 2 Talbot Road, Wembley, HA0 4UE

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment of the site comprising the erection of a part two, part three storey building providing 5 self-contained flats (4 x 1bed and 1 x 2bed) with associated rear roof terrace, front balconies, cycle parking spaces, bin stores, front boundary railings, amenity space and landscaping.

RECOMMENDATION: GRANT planning permission subject to conditions and informatives and delegate authority to the Head of Planning to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives to secure the matters set out in the report

That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to make changes to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions, informatives, planning obligations or reasons for the decision) prior to the decision being actioned, provided that the Head of Planning is satisfied that any such changes could not reasonably be regarded as deviating from the overall principle of the decision reached by the committee nor that such change(s) could reasonably have led to a different decision having been reached by the committee. That the Committee confirms that adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Chris Heather (Principal Planning Officer) introduced the report and informed members that the proposed flats, which would not have a significant impact on adjoining residents, complied with standards. He referenced the supplementary report and advised members with the aid of an extract of the CPZ map that should over-spill parking in the uncontrolled local streets become significant, the CPZ could be extended by the Council (subject to public consultation).

DECISION: Granted planning permission as recommended. (Voting on the application was unanimous).

5. 16/5342 - Garages next to 7, Princes Close, London

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment of the site to provide a 2 storey building comprising 4 self-contained flats (4 x studios) with associated car and cycle parking spaces, bin stores and amenity space.

RECOMMENDATION: GRANT planning permission subject to conditions and informatives and delegate authority to the Head of Planning to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives to secure the matters set out in the report.

That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to make changes to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions, informatives, planning obligations or reasons for the decision) prior to the decision being actioned, provided that the Head of Planning is satisfied that

any such changes could not reasonably be regarded as deviating from the overall principle of the decision reached by the committee nor that such change(s) could reasonably have led to a different decision having been reached by the committee.

That the Committee confirms that adequate provision has been made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees as required by Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

DECISION: Granted planning permission as recommended. (Voting on the application was unanimous).

6. 17/0298 - All Units at 4-9 INC, and Garages rear of 4-9 Gladstone Parade, Edgware Road, Cricklewood, London

PROPOSAL: Demolition of all existing mixed used buildings and garages and construction of part three, part four and part five storey building providing 219 sqm of flexible retail floorspace for shop / financial and professional services (Use Classes A1 / A2), hot food takeaway (Use class A5) and 153 sqm for public house (Use Class A4) at ground floor level, and 38 residential units (Use Class C3) on

the upper floors comprising 16×1 bed, 12×2 bed, 9×3 bed and 1×4 bed units with associated car and cycle parking spaces, bin stores and landscaping.

RECOMMENDATION: To grant planning permission subject to the completion of a satisfactory Section 106 or other legal agreement and delegate authority to the Head of Planning or other duly authorised person to agree the exact terms thereof on advice from the Head of Legal Services and to secure the benefits set out in the agreement.

To delegate authority to the Head of Planning to issue the planning permission and impose conditions and informatives to secure the matters set out in the report.

And, to authorise the Head of Area Planning, or other duly authorised person, to refuse planning permission if the applicant has failed to demonstrate the ability to provide for the terms of the agreement and meet the policies of the Development Plan by concluding an appropriate agreement.

That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and Impose conditions (and informatives) to secure the matters set out in the report.

Angus Saunders introduced the application and responded to members' questions. With reference to the supplementary report he responded to queries raised at the site visit on the building line, the offer of new tenancies to existing occupiers, loss of trees and amenity space. Members were advised that the building was currently set back from Edgware Road by 9 metres and that the proposed building would be sited about 4 metres and 8 metres from the road on the northern and southern side, respectively. He continued that the applicant was understood to have been in contact with the existing tenants of Skippers Choice fish and chip shop about occupying the proposed A5 use and was also in negotiations with the other existing tenants. He continued that as the existing trees were believed to be of low quality, the proposals would provide replacement tree planting of five trees to the landscaped areas along Edgware Road as well as new tree planting to the communal garden to the rear of the property. In respect of amenity space, he stated that residents would have access to a mixture of their own private balconies and 388sqm of communal roof terraces that combine to meet Brent DMP19 amenity space requirement.

Michael Cawthorne an objector alleged that he was not consulted on the application. He felt that the proposal was an inappropriate development, adding that, in his view, the existing garages only required a refurbishment and not a demolition.

Alison Hopkins, speaking in a similar vein, felt that the proposal constituted an over development of the site which would result in loss of community asset and employment. She added that the funding for CPZ was apparently dependent on the redevelopment of Brent Cross station which she thought might not happen.

Christopher Kilcoyne objected on the grounds that the proposed development would affect his right of way.

Andy Thompson on behalf of Dollis Hill Residents' Association echoed similar sentiments adding that the proposal failed to comply with local and national density levels and that it should be 1 bedroom flats for key workers rather than family size accommodation. He also objected on the grounds of inadequate parking provision and the resulting congestion in the area.

Ben Rogers (applicant's agent) informed members that the design, density and massing were agreed via detailed pre-application to ensure that the scheme complied with Council's standards. He added that the parking provisions were agreed with the Council's Highways with additional funding towards CPZ. John Ashton (applicant's architect) clarified the BREEAM standards for the scheme. The agent added that the applicant would welcome an informative for a "Car Club".

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Code of Practice, Councillor Dixon, ward member for Dollis Hill stated that she had been approached by the residents. Councillor Dixon felt that the proposal would offer insufficient affordable housing units and congested accommodation as well as result in loss of employment and disruption to the community. Councillor Dixon also expressed a preference for refurbishment of the block rather than demolition.

Angus Saunders clarified that statutory consultation including site notices and press notices was carried out. He continued that the principle of development was acceptable and complied with the Development Plan and the London Plan. He added that the proposal, which responded responsibly to the site constraints, would offer 30% affordable housing with re-provision for the Chip shop. The financial viability of the scheme had been independently assessed and a pre-completion review built into the s106 legal agreement. He advised members that the Council had no powers to direct a refurbishment as requested by various speakers.

DECISION: Granted planning permission as recommended with additional condition for car club and informatives regarding repairs to damage to the public realm during construction.

(Voting on the application was: FOR 7; AGAINST 1)

7. 16/5398 - 76-78 Salusbury Road, London, NW6 6PA

PROPOSAL: Change of use of the 1st, 2nd and part of the ground floor of the public house (Use class A4) to create 9 self-contained flats (2 x 1bed, 3 x 2bed, 2 x 3bed and 2 x 4bed), retention of the ground floor public house including the provision of an ancillary function room together with associated alterations to include erection of a partial third floor, new 2nd floor rear extension, replacement and relocation of some of the windows, insertion of new windows and rooflights, terraces and screening and bin stores.

RECOMMENDATION: To grant planning permission subject to the prior completion of a satisfactory Section 106 or other legal agreement and delegate authority to the Head of Planning or other duly authorised person to agree the exact terms thereof on advice from the Head of Legal Services and to secure the benefits set out in the agreement.

To authorise the Head of Area Planning, or other duly authorised person, to refuse planning permission if the applicant has failed to demonstrate the ability to provide for the terms of the agreement and meet the policies of the Development Plan by concluding an appropriate agreement by 1 September 2017.

That the Head of Planning is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and Impose conditions (and informatives) to secure the matters set out in the report.

Angus Saunders (Area Planning Manager) introduced the report and answered members' questions. He informed members that the proposal would bring back into use a vacant pub with an ancillary community use and would make efficient use of a site that is currently vacant by introducing nine flats to the boroughs housing stock. The proposal which would be subject to a legal agreement to reprovide a function room for community groups to use for forty hours per week (from Mondays and Thursdays), along with a financial contribution, was considered to have significant planning merits hence the recommendation for approval. With reference to the supplementary report he responded to queries raised by members at the site visit on the access to the function room, bookings for the function room and provided a further clarity on the definition of community groups within the draft s106 agreement and as set out in the supplementary report. He summarised objections received from Councillor Duffy and Councillor Conneely.

Robin Sharp (QPARA) welcomed the current proposals and in particular the availability of the function room for forty hours from Mondays to Thursdays which were a direct result of engagement with Corrib Rest. He, however, requested an extension of the use of the function room to Friday 3:00pm which he felt would appropriately serve the needs of the residents and local community groups.

Kevin Barrett (Irish Pensioners) expressed concerns about reduced facilities and the internal layout of the proposed function room which he felt appeared enclosed and attached to the main bar area.

Dan Judelson, speaking in a similar vein, stated that the proposed priority days of the function room for community groups would not meet need as evidenced by the meanwhile uses which generated greater demand for local public and private functions and educational uses. He felt that a more appropriate days would be Wednesdays to Sundays

Helen Monaghan (Hopefield Residents' Association) speaking in support of the application stated that all of the concerns previously expressed by Hopefield residents in terms licensing hours, excessive noise had been addressed. She

added that the first floor community space was viable and sustainable for the residents of Hopefield Road.

Kieron Hodgson (applicant's agent) addressed the Committee and answered members' questions. He stated that the current application was welcomed by residents in particular the provision of a bigger function room with better quality facilities including level access for disabled people. He added that the proposal with s106 planning obligations including a contribution towards community facilities in the local area, would strike a balanced delivery of a viable public house and a flexible community space to meet a wide range of community uses. The agent continued that the community space availability to Thursdays and which had been increased from 23 to 40 hours, would ensure commercial viability for the operation of the pub.

In accordance with the provisions of the planning Code of Practice, Councillor Denselow, ward member, stated that he had been approached by both objectors to and supporters of the application. He referenced the listing of the building as an asset of community value (ACV) and whilst welcoming its retention, requested the input of an independent party into the marketing plan.

In accordance with the provisions of the planning Code of Practice, Councillor Nerva, ward member, stated that he had been approached by both objectors to and supporters of the application. Councillor Nerva reiterated the following issues of concern:

- Access to the community room through the pub.
- Further information required of the marketing plans for the function room.
- Comparable costs of community rooms.
- Clear measures on how the ACV, if unsuccessful, may be revoked.
- Whether it would be possible for the residents to book the community rooms on Friday to Sunday nights and whether the hours for community use could be extended.

In accordance with the provisions of the planning Code of Practice, Councillor Pitruzzella, ward member for Kilburn, stated that she had been approached by the local residents. Councillor Pitruzzella whilst welcoming the community rooms, expressed concerns about the hours of use and sought clarity on the following; whether the application would qualify to CIL and if so whether it would be ring fenced; whether there would be a review mechanism for the function room; and the hire rates for residents.

Angus Saunders clarified that there would be no restriction to the community groups for use of the community space and that from Thursday to Sunday, it would be available for hire via the booking process. He added that the application qualified for CIL payment and under the s106 legal agreement, a further financial contribution of £64,000 would be made available to community facilities in the local area including the option of improving the acoustics of the Salusbury Rooms. Additionally, the s106 agreement including advertisement of the community rooms would be reviewed and measures would be put in place to identify why the

Page88

community were not using the rooms (if that was the case) before being put on the open market. He then read out in full the written representations submitted by Councillor Duffy and Councillor Conneely. In conclusion, the Area Planning Manager felt that the application represented an efficient use of the site with 9 flats and significant planning merits for the community.

In welcoming the application, members agreed an additional informative requiring the applicant to repair any damage to the public realm during construction.

DECISION: Granted planning permission as recommended as amended in condition 5 and an additional condition requiring the submission of a marketing plan for approval within 3 months of material start, as set out in the supplementary report and an additional informative on repairs to public realm. (Voting on the application was unanimous).

8. 17/1080/PRE - Access Storage, First Way, Wembley, HA9 0JD

The Committee decided to defer the presentation of the scheme to the next meeting.

9. Any Other Urgent Business

None.

The meeting closed at 11.15 pm

A AGHA Chair

Note:

At 9:15pm the meeting was adjourned for 5 minutes.

At 10:00pm, the Committee voted to disapply the guillotine procedure to enable members to consider all applications for decisions on the night.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 03

Supplementary Information Planning Committee on 12 July, 2017 Case No. 17/1915

Location	14 Grendon Gardens, Wembley, HA9 9NE
Description	Proposed part single and part two storey rear extension, loft conversion including addition of
	dormer window to rear slope and insertion of roof lights, remodelling of front entrance and
	landscaping scheme of front garden to existing dwelling house.

Agenda Page Number: 5-18

Since the drafting of the final committee report, comments from the Barn Hill Residents' Association (BHRA) have been received.

The Residents' Association have raised objection to the proposed scheme in its current form on the following grounds:

- As set out within the Barn Hill Design Guide (2013) the proposed second [first] floor rear extension is unacceptable, with P18 further stating that the height of your extension should be kept to the lowest practical level whilst still complementing the character of the original house. They highlight that the height permitted is 3 m for a flat roof and an average height of 3 m for a pitched roof.
- the proposed rear dormer which would result in a cramped/clumsy addition to the rear roof.
- The front landscape plan does not appear to conform to the 50/50% hard & soft landscaping as required by the Barn Hill Design Guide (2013).
- Although this type of porch was not originally part of the designs for the houses on the estate, it represents an improvement on the existing porch;
- The BHRA note that No.17 Brampton Grove has been cited as a precedent. They consider that this is not comparing like-for-like as No.17 Brampton Grove is in part of the Conservation Area that is not governed by the Article 4 Directive, whereas No.14 Grendon Gardens is in part of the Conservation Area that is subject to the stricter controls of the Article 4 Directive.

The views of the Residents' Association supports the views of Council officers that the proposal is contrary to the design guide and harmful to the character and appearance of the house and Conservation Area.

Recommendation: Remains Refusal

DocSuppF

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 04

Supplementary Information Planning Committee on 12 July, 2017 Case No. 17/1296

Location 58 Neasden Lane, London, NW10 2UJ Description Demolition of existing three storey light industrial building and erection of a 5 storey hotel comprising 196 rooms with ancillary cafe/bar, restaurant, gymnasium/meeting room, roof top plant compound and associated car, coach and cycle parking spaces, landscaping and boundary treatment.

Agenda Page Number: 19

The draft decision notice did not print on page 27. The proposed draft conditions and informatives are set out below:

1 STANDARD TIME

The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning on the date of this permission.

Reason: To conform with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2 APPROVED DRAWINGS

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved drawings:

A 000 001 P0 Location Plan A 025 001 P0 Existing Ground floor A 025 002 P0 Existing Roof Level A 025 010 P0 Existing South and West Elevations A 025 011 P0 Existing north and East Elevations A 100 000 P0 Proposed Site Plan A 100 001 P0 Proposed Ground Floor Plan A 100 002 P0 Proposed First to Third Floor Plan A 100 003 P0 Proposed Fourth Floor Plan A 100 004 P0 Proposed Roof Level A 110 001 P0 Proposed South and West Elevations A 110 002 P0 Proposed North and East Elevations 06-695-02-Rev D Front Visualisation 06-695-100-01-Rev E Landscape Proposals (including landscape strategy and planting schedule) 06-695-100-02-Rev D Front Landscape Proposals 06-695-100-03-Rev B Details of Green Wall and Cellular System

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

3 DELIVERY AND SERVICING PLAN

The Development shall at all times be operated in accordance with the approved Car and Coach Parking and Delivery and Servicing Management Plan (prepared by Caneparo Associates, dated March 2017).

Reason: In the interests of highway and pedestrians safety

4 HARD AND SOFT LANDSCAPING

Document Imaged

The hard and soft landscape works and planting shown on the following approved plans 06-695-100-01 Rev E, 06-695-100-02 Rev D and 06-695-100-03 Rev B shall be carried out prior to the occupation of any part of the development. Any planting that is part of the approved scheme that within a period of *five* years after planting is removed, dies or becomes seriously damaged or diseased, shall be replaced in the next planting season and all planting shall be replaced with others of a similar size and species and in the same position, unless the Local Planning Authority first gives written consent to any variation.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory appearance and setting for the development and to ensure that the proposed development enhances the visual amenity of the area.

5 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING POINTS

Within three months of commencement of the Development further details of the location of four passive electronic vehicle charging points shall be provided to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details prior to the occupation of the Development and shall be retained for the lifetime of the Development.

Reason: In the interest of sustainable development

6 SERVICING AND PARKING TO BE PROVIDED

All parking spaces, cycle stores, refuse and recycling stores, turning areas, loading bays, access roads and footways shall be constructed and permanently marked out in accordance with the approved plans prior to commencement of use of any part of the Development and shall be retained for the lifetime of the Development.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development does not prejudice the free flow of traffic or the conditions of general safety within the site and along the neighbouring highway.

7 ACCESSIBILITY

At least 10% of the hotel rooms shall be wheelchair accessible.

Reason: in the interests of accessibility in accordance with London Plan policy 4.5

8 EXTERNAL MATERIALS

Details of materials for all external work, including manufacturer's literature and samples of the bricks to be provided on site for inspection, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before any above ground work is commenced. The work shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory development which does not prejudice the amenity of the locality.

9 CONTAMINATED LAND

(a) Prior to commencement of any works on site, with the exception of works necessary to facilitate compliance with part (a) of this condition, a Site Investigation shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Site Investigation shall be carried out by competent persons in accordance with the principles of BS 10175:2011 to determine the nature and extent of any soil contamination present; include the results of any research and analysis undertaken as well as an assessment of the risks posed by any identified contamination; and include an appraisal of remediation options should any contamination be found that presents an unacceptable risk to any identified receptors

(b) Prior to the commencement of any works, with the exception of works necessary to facilitate compliance with part (b) of this condition and UNLESS the Local Planning Authority has previously confirmed in discharging part (a) above that no remediation measures are required, a Remediation Strategy shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Remediation Strategy shall specify measures to contain, treat or remove any soil

contamination to bring the site to a condition suitable for the intended residential use; include all works to be undertaken, proposed remediation objectives and remediation criteria, timetable of works and site management procedures; ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation.

The works shall be carried in accordance with the approved details in accordance with the approved timetable of works. The Local Planning Authority must be given two weeks written notification of commencement of the remediation scheme works. Any remediation measures required by part (a) above shall be carried out in full.

(c) Prior to the occupation of the Development, UNLESS the Local Planning Authority has previously confirmed in discharging part (a) above that no remediation measures are required, a Verification Report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Remediation Verification Report shall demonstrate that the remediation has been carried out in accordance with the approved Remediation Strategy; and that the Development is permitted for its approved end use.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other off site receptors

10 BREEAM

(a) Prior to the commencement of the Development a Design Stage BRE Interim Certificate demonstrating that the Development shall be constructed to such specification as to achieve BREEAM "Excellent" shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved specification.

(b) Prior to the occupation of the Development a Post Construction Stage Review BRE Certificate demonstrating that the Development has achieved BREEAM "Excellent" shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Development shall be maintained so that it continues to comply for the lifetime of the Development.

Reason: to ensure the Development is designed and constructed to improve environmental performance and adapt to the effects of climate change over time.

11 NON-INFRASTRUTURE HIGHWAY WORKS

Prior to commencement of any works, appropriate arrangements shall be made in writing with the local planning authority and local highway authority to provide the following non-infrastructure highway works under s278 of the Highways Act 1980 to provide facilitate access to the site:

- (i) new crossover onto Neasden Lane on the northern side of the site; and
- (ii) relocate the pedestrian refuge northwards away from the northern boundary of the site

The Development shall not be occupied until the above works have been completed in accordance with the above points and have been certified in writing as complete by the local highway authority.

Reason: in the interests of pedestrian safety.

12 TRAVEL PLAN - SUBMIT AND IMPLEMENT

Within three months of a Material Start a revised Travel Plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

The approved Travel Plan shall be implemented in full from first occupation/use of the Development, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Travel Plan shall be reviewed at years 1, 3 and 5 from first occupation, and the reviews shall be

approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority as follows:

a) A review of the Travel Plan measures over the first 12 months from first occupation shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within 15 months of the commencement of the use and the review shall be approved in writing within 18 months and associated measures implemented unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority;

b) A review of the Travel Plan measures over the first 3 years from first occupation shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within 39 months of the commencement of the use and the review shall be approved in writing within 42 months and associated measures implemented unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority;

c) A review of the Travel Plan measures over the first 5 years of operation shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within 63 months of the commencement of the use and the review shall be approved in writing within 66 months and associated measures implemented unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority

Reason: In order to promote sustainable transport measures and in the interest of the free and safe flow of traffic on the local road network and in particular to ensure the Travel Plan responds to Highways officers comments to address guest travel and concerns about staff travel measures.

13 AIR QUALITY NEUTRAL ASSESSMENT

No development shall take place unless an Air Quality Neutral Assessment ("the Assessment") has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Assessment must be undertaken in accordance with guidance published by the Greater London Authority (GLA) and shall include appropriate mitigation proposals should it be found that the development is not air quality neutral. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the Assessment and any approved mitigation measures shall be implemented prior to the occupation of the development and shall be retained for the lifetime of the development.

Reason: to protect local air quality in accordance with London Plan policy 7.14

14 SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE

Prior to the commencement of relevant drainage works full details of a drainage strategy detailing any on and/or off site drainage works which shall include but is not limited to a scheme of drainage measures for all areas of hard surface, showing those areas to be treated by means of hard landscape works to utilise a sustainable urban drainage system (SUDS) to reduce run-off rates, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented in full prior to first occupation of the development and shall be retained for the lifetime of the Development.

Reason: To ensure the development meets the requirements of London Plan Policy 5.13 Sustainable Drainage.

INFORMATIVES

- 1 The applicant is advised that this development is liable to pay the Community Infrastructure Levy; a Liability Notice will be sent to all known contacts including the applicant and the agent. Before you commence any works please read the Liability Notice and comply with its contents as otherwise you may be subjected to penalty charges. Further information including eligibility for relief and links to the relevant forms and to the Government's CIL guidance, can be found on the Brent website at www.brent.gov.uk/CIL.
- 2 The applicant is advised to notify the Council's Highways Service of the intention to commence works prior to commencement. They shall contact Mark O'Brien (Public Realm Monitoring Manager) at Mark.O'Brien@brent.gov.uk, and include photographs showing the condition of highway along the site boundaries.

3 The applicant is advised that that construction and demolition work is controlled by the Council under Section 60 and 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974, and the British Standard Codes of practice 5228:1997 Parts 1 to 4. In particular, building work that is audible at the boundary of the site shall only be carried out between the following hours:

Monday to Friday - 08.00 to 18.30 Saturdays – 08.00 to 13.00 Sundays and Bank Holidays – No noisy works at all

4 Applicants are reminded of hazards caused by asbestos materials especially during demolition and removal works and attention is drawn to the Asbestos Licensing Regulations 1983. Licensed Contractors only are permitted to remove asbestos which must be transferred to a licensed site. For further advice the Council's Chief Environmental Health Officer should be contacted.

Recommendation: Remains as per the recommendation on page 20

DocSuppF

This page is intentionally left blank